



دانشکده‌ی علوم ریاضی



تحویل اصلی ۵ آبان ۱۴۰۲

رمز نگاری

تمرین : سری ۱

تحویل نهایی ۱۲ آبان

مدّرس : دکتر شهرام خزائی

- Upload your answers on courseware with the name: StudentNumber.pdf
- Upload a PDF file. Image and zip formats are not accepted.
- Similar answers will not be graded.
- NO answers will be accepted via e-mail.
- You can't upload files bigger than 1 Mb, so you'd better type.
- Deadline time is always at 23:55 and will not be extended.
- You should submit your answers before soft deadline.
- You will lose 5 percent for each day delay if you submit within a week after soft deadline.
- You can not submit any time after hard deadline.
- For any question contact Parsa Reisi via parsareisi1024q@gmail.com.

Problem 1

We say that $(\text{Gen}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec})$ with message and ciphertext spaces \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{C} is a *statistically ε -indistinguishable secure SKE* if for every $m_0, m_1 \in \mathcal{M}$ and every $T \subseteq \mathcal{C}$,

$$|\Pr[\text{Enc}_K(m_0) \in T] - \Pr[\text{Enc}_K(m_1) \in T]| \leq \varepsilon,$$

where the probabilities are taken over $K \xleftarrow{R} \text{Gen}()$ and the coin tosses of Enc .

1. Show that statistical 0-indistinguishability is equivalent to perfect security.
2. In analogy with adversarial indistinguishability, we say that an encryption scheme $(\text{Gen}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec})$ satisfies *ε -adversarial indistinguishability* if every adversary \mathcal{A} succeeds at the adversarial indistinguishability experiment on page 31 in the textbook¹, with probability at most $\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}$:
 - (a) \mathcal{A} outputs a pair of messages $m_0, m_1 \in \mathcal{M}$.
 - (b) A random key $K \xleftarrow{R} \text{Gen}()$ and a bit $b \xleftarrow{R} \{0, 1\}$ are sampled. The ciphertext $c \xleftarrow{R} \text{Enc}_K(m_b)$ is computed and given to \mathcal{A} .
 - (c) \mathcal{A} outputs a bit b' and succeeds iff $b = b'$.

Show that if the encryption scheme $(\text{Gen}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec})$ is statistically ε -indistinguishable, then it also satisfies ε -adversarial indistinguishability.

For the next three parts, suppose $(\text{Gen}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec})$ is statistically ε -indistinguishable for message space \mathcal{M} . Below you will prove that the number of keys must be at least $(1-\varepsilon) \cdot |\mathcal{M}|$, therefore statistical security does not help much to overcome the limitations of perfect secrecy.

2. Call a ciphertext c *decryptable* to $m \in \mathcal{M}$ if there is a key K such that $\text{Dec}_K(c) = m$. Prove that for every pair of messages $m, m' \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$\Pr[\text{Enc}_K(m) \text{ is decryptable to } m'] \geq 1 - \varepsilon,$$

where the probability is taken over $K \xleftarrow{R} \text{Gen}()$ and the coin tosses of Enc .

¹Jonathan Katz, Yehuda Lindell: Introduction to Modern Cryptography, Third Edition.

3. Show that for every message $m \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$E[\#\{m' : \text{Enc}_K(m) \text{ is decryptable to } m'\}] \geq (1 - \varepsilon) \cdot |\mathcal{M}|,$$

where E represents the expected value function and again the probability is taken over K and the coin tosses of Enc . (Hint: for each m' , define a random variable $X_{m'}$ that equals 1 if $\text{Enc}_K(m)$ is decryptable to m' , and equals 0 otherwise.)

4. Conclude that the number of keys must be at least $(1 - \varepsilon) \cdot |\mathcal{M}|$.

Problem 2

1. For each of the following encryption schemes, describe the decryption algorithm and state whether the scheme is perfectly secret. Justify your answer in each case.

- (a) (“Two-time pad”). The plaintext is the set of all ℓ -bit strings. The key generation algorithm outputs a uniformly random key from $\{0, 1\}^{\ell/2}$. To encrypt a message $m = m_1 \dots m_\ell$ under the key $k = k_1 \dots k_{\ell/2}$, we output $(m_1 \oplus k_1, \dots, m_{\ell/2} \oplus k_{\ell/2}, m_{\ell/2+1} \oplus k_1, \dots, m_\ell \oplus k_{\ell/2})$.
 - (b) An encryption scheme whose plaintext space is $\mathcal{M} = \{m \in \{0, 1\}^\ell \mid \text{the last bit of } m \text{ is } 0\}$ and key generation algorithm chooses a uniform key from the key space $\{0, 1\}^{\ell-1}$. The encryption of a message $m \in \{0, 1\}^{\ell-1}$ under the key $k \in \{0, 1\}^{\ell-1}$ is $E_k(m) = m \oplus (k \parallel 0)$.
 - (c) Messages are ℓ bit strings. The key is a random permutation on $\{1, \dots, 2\ell\}$. To encrypt a message m under the key k , write down m , followed by \bar{m} , the bitwise complement of m . Then permute the bits of the resulting 2ℓ -bit string $m \parallel \bar{m}$ according to the permutation described by k .
 - (d) Same as part (c) except we replace \bar{m} with 0^ℓ (here 0^ℓ denotes the sequence of ℓ zeros). That is, we apply the permutation to the 2ℓ -bit string $m \parallel 0^\ell$.
2. Give examples (with proofs) for
- (a) A scheme such that it is possible to efficiently recover 90% of the bits of the key given the ciphertext, and yet it is still perfectly secure. Do you think there is a security issue in using such a scheme in practice?

- (b) Given an encryption of any message, an adversary learns *nothing* about the secret key, but the scheme is completely broken (e.g., given the ciphertext, an adversary can completely recover the plaintext).

Problem 3

Suppose G is a PRG with input length λ and output length 3λ . Which of the following are PRGs? (Prove or give a counter-example for your answers)

1. $G_a(s) = G(s)_{[1,2\lambda]}$. That is, run G , delete the last λ bits, and output the first 2λ .
2. $G_b(r, s) = (r, G(s))$. Here, r, s are λ bits, and G_b has input length 2λ and output length 4λ .
3. $G_c(s) = (r, G(s))$. Here, r, s are λ bits, and G is a probabilistic algorithm that chooses a fresh r for each invocation.
4. $G_d(s) = (s, G(s))$.
5. $G_e(s) = G(G_0(s)), G(G_1(s)), G(G_2(s))$. Here, G_0 represents the first λ bits of the output of $G(s)$, G_1 the second λ bits, and G_2 the final λ bits

Problem 4

Let G be a pseudorandom generator with expansion function ℓ . Show that $G(U_n)$ has a sequence of at least $2 \log_2 \ell(n)$ consecutive ones with low probability (i.e. tending to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$). Can this probability be negligible?